

UVAE

comment

Because I do not see the analysis center~~s~~ having the resources nor the authority to take part in investigations for some time, I see it rather as a resource center for those doing the investigations and a place where methodology for more efficient investigations can be developed. I believe the three ~~first~~ following items are examples of what should be done at the beginning, because they would provide quick assistance. They are in order of ^{speed} ~~speed~~ of accomplishment *as I see it* :

1. To establish a current, readily searchable repository of unauthorized disclosures (these can be in abstract or index form) to provide ^{information} to the DCI or other official requesters ~~when these individuals request data~~ by topic, source, publisher, time frame etc.

2. To provide a test bed for analytical techniques which may assist leak investigations. ^{relative to current events,} The timing of the publication ^{the lag between the source document and the publication} and (unfortunately only occasionally) the analysis of quoted phrases which might point to a draft version of a source

STAT document are examples which showed up in study.

3. As a further aid to Community investigations, the text of ~~leak~~ leaks, either in detailed abstract or eventually in total form, ^s should be stored for use in content analysis. This mission of the Analysis Center is based

STAT on frustrated comment about the difficulty of sorting out the new elements of a ~~story~~ story based on leaks when the authors are using word processing and their own data bases. The authors can flesh out a story based on a single bit of new information by searching out older material which may in part also be based on ~~leak~~ leaks. The investigator therefore may waste time tracking old material when his limited efforts should be concentrated on ascertaining the damage caused by new elements previously uninvestigated. Because this goal would require considerable preparation time

to simply convert the data (articles or abstracts) into machine readable form, the three following methods are suggested:

1. Use summer students or other similar assets working on standalone unclassified word processors. They would be given formats to follow but no association with the classified use or even the relevance, or truth, of the articles ~~why~~ which they were asked to enter.

2. Establish not only common data elements but also equipment compatibility throughout the Community so that each agency could prepare its own relevant ^{link} articles on compatible storage media for entry into the Center's common data base.]

3. Make as much use of the Nexis, Lexis and other news service data bases as possible as a ~~xxxx~~ ready source of machine readable input to the data base. There would of course be human intervention to select ~~xxxx~~ only articles appropriate for the data base.]